

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/417,604	10/14/1999	AKIRA ISHINO	8005.166USO	7641	
22434	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER		
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			KIM, EUGENE LEE		
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY.	CA 94704-0778		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/417,604	ISHINO ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
4	Eugene L Kim	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 4/12/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the comment whick the contract which are the contrac	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee in the final originally set in the final original originally set in the final original o	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: '							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-5, 7-13, 15-16							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	•				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. ☐ Other:		0 -					
	,	Logen					
		Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3721					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner maintains rejection set forth in the final rejection. Applicant argues that the correlation data is between the entirety of the data. This is not clearly set forth in the claims; therefore examiner maintains rejection set forth in the last office action.